# Objective Determination of Feature Resolution in an SST Analysis

Richard W. Reynolds (NOAA, CICS) Dudley B. Chelton (Oregon State University)

## What is an Analysis?

- An analysis is a field produced on a regular grid (\*) usually using irregularly spaced data
- The data (•,•) are weighted by distance to the analysis point and by a noise-tosignal ratio



# Input SST Data

- In situ data: directly measured SST observations from ships and buoys
- Remotely sensed satellite Infrared SSTs
  - 1-9 km resolution
  - Observations must be cloud free
  - E.g., AVHRR (1981-present)
- Remotely sensed satellite Microwave SSTs
  - 50 km resolution
  - Observations can be made through clouds but must be precipitation free
  - E.g., AMSR (2002-present)

# Background

- GHRSST (The Group for High Resolution SST) includes many high resolution SST analyses
  - There are differences in input data, grid resolution, analysis procedures
  - There are important differences in analyzed SSTs and analysis resolution
- Reynolds and Chelton compared 6 SST analyses for 2006-08 to try to identify analysis problems and determine whether any of the analyses are superior

### SST Analyses, 1 January 2007

## RSS OI – (~1/11)° grid

- NCEP RTG-HR – (1/12)° grid
- UK OSTIA

   (1/20)° grid
- NCDC Daily OI: (AMSR + AVHRR)
   (1/4)° grid
- Spatial scales differ
- Differences can exceed 5°C off coast



### SST Analyses, 1 January 2007

## RSS OI – (~1/11)° grid

- NCEP RTG-HR – (1/12)° grid
- UK OSTIA

   (1/20)° grid
- NCDC Daily OI: (AMSR + AVHRR)
   (1/4)° grid
- Spatial scales differ
- Differences can exceed 5°C off coast



## SST Analyses, 1 January 2007

#### • RSS OI – (~1/11)° grid

- NCEP RTG-HR – (1/12)° grid
- UK OSTIA

   (1/20)° grid
- NCDC Daily OI: (AMSR + AVHRR)
   (1/4)° grid

# This is a daily average

 What spatial scales are justified?



## Results

- There is no clear correlation between resolution
   and spatial grid size
  - GHRSST and other analysis producers emphasize grid resolution over actual analysis resolution
  - Users are confused about the difference
- If the analysis resolution is pushed beyond the spatial and temporal resolution of the data: the apparent SST signal is simply just noise
- How can we objectively define the analysis resolution?

#### **Experiments with Synthetic Data**

- Analyze the complete SST fields produced by an ocean general circulation model (OGCM) on a highresolution grid over a given time period
  - Assume these fields are "truth"
  - Sub-sample the full SST field using actual satellite observation times and locations
- Use the full and sub-sampled (reduced) SST fields as "Data"
- Produce SST analyses of the full and reduced SST data sets
  - Compare the results

## SST from ECCO2 is "Truth"

- 1/16° OGCM (courtesy Dimitris Menemenlis)
  - Estimating the Circulation and Climate of the Ocean, Phase II (ECCO2) ocean model
  - Horizontal model grid : 6.9 km at equator; 4.9 km at 45° lat
- Use model SST data for 2 daily periods
  - January 1993; July 1993
- Use AMSR and Pathfinder AVHRR data coverage (day plus night) for 2 daily periods
  - January 2004; July 2004
  - Note: Actual AMSR and AVHRR SSTs are NOT used
  - Linearly interpolate model SSTs to pathfinder v5 grid (4.8 km at equator) for simulated high-res AVHRR data
  - Smooth model SSTs to 50 km and average on 1/4° grid (27.8 km at equator) for simulated low-res AMSR data



## Results

- Daily low- and high-resolution OI run for two onemonth periods using complete "data" coverage (full) and data subsampled to simulate actual satellite data coverage (reduced)
  - Because of limited high resolution coverage due to clouds: 3 days of low- and high-resolution data were used
  - Two periods; January & July 1993 using January & July 2004 data coverage
- Products to be examined
  - Low-resolution data (3-day): Full & Reduced
  - Low-resolution OI analysis: Full & Reduced
  - High-resolution data (3-day): Full & Reduced
  - High-resolution OI analysis: Full & Reduced

## SST Data, 1 July 1993

- Simulated Low-Resolution Data: top 2 panels
  - <u>Small</u> differences between Reduced (left) and Full sampling (right)
- Simulated High-Resolution Data: bottom 2 panels
  - Large differences between Reduced (left) and Full sampling (right)
  - High and Low resolution feature differences are apparent



### SST Hi-Res Reduced Data, 1 July 1993

- Focus is on the zonal wavenumber (λ<sup>-1</sup>) of spatial variance for 2 regions:
  - Gulf Stream
  - Sargasso Sea
- Wavenumber spectra computed:
  - Monthly average for 31 days along zonal line at center of the box
  - Daily average along 31 zonal lines closest to zonal line at the box center



#### **Gulf Stream Auto-Spectra, July 1993**

#### Horizontal Axis

- Wavenumber (λ<sup>-1</sup>)
- Range: 0-0.1 km<sup>-1</sup>
- Vertical axis
  - Spectral density
  - Powers of 10
- 3-days of hi-res data

Data Range:
 10<sup>3</sup> - 10<sup>-3</sup>

- Roughly flat: 0.08 - 0.10 km<sup>-1</sup>
- Min resolution
   ~ 12 km
  - Model grid = 5.2 km at 40.5° lat
  - Smallest Nyguist
     λ = 10.4 km



#### **Gulf Stream Auto-Spectra, July 1993**

- Showing
  - Data Hi-Res Full
  - OI Low-Res Full & OI Low-Res Red
- Both OI Low-Res versions very similar & lower than data
  - − 10<sup>1</sup> ~ 0.01 km<sup>-1</sup>
  - 10<sup>4</sup> ~0.02-0.03
- OI Low-Res has ringing at high λ<sup>-1</sup>
  - Due to bilinear interpolation from low to high resolution



#### **Gulf Stream Auto-Spectra, July 1993**

- Add OI Hi-Res
  - Both OI Hi-Res Full & OI Hi-Res Red very similar to DATA at wavenumbers (λ<sup>-1</sup>) smaller than 0.06 km<sup>-1</sup>
  - OI Hi-Res Full smaller than both Data & OI Hi-Res Red above 0.06 km<sup>-1</sup>
  - OI Hi-Res Full has ringing at λ<sup>-1</sup> above 0.08 km<sup>-1</sup>
- What is signal?
- What is noise?



#### Sargasso Squared Coherence ( $\gamma^2$ ), July 1993

- Coherence: correlation as a function of wavenumber (λ<sup>-1</sup>)
- Coherence computed with respect to 3-days of data
- OI Low-Res Red
  - OI Low-Res γ<sup>2</sup> only 0.5 at 0.01 km<sup>-1</sup> and then drops quickly
- OI Hi-Res Full
  - Ol γ<sup>2</sup> above 0.9 until 0.07 km<sup>-1</sup> and then drops quickly
- **OI Hi-Res Red** 
  - γ<sup>2</sup> above 0.7 until
     0.03 km<sup>-1</sup> and then drops



#### Gulf Stream Squared Coherence ( $\gamma^2$ ), July 1993

- OI Low-Res Red
  - OI Low-Res γ<sup>2</sup> only 0.6 at 0.01 km<sup>-1</sup> and then drops quickly
- OI Hi-Res Full
  - Ol γ<sup>2</sup> above 0.9 until 0.06 km<sup>-1</sup> and then drops quickly
- OI Hi-Res Red
  - γ<sup>2</sup> above 0.7 until 0.6 at 0.01 km<sup>-1</sup> and then drops
  - Little monthly high resolution!
  - January even worse



#### Gulf Stream Squared Coherence (γ<sup>2</sup>) 1 & 17July 1993

- Left panel: 1 July: Right panel: 17 July
- OI Low-Res Red & OI Hi-Res Full
  - Both days show results very similar to monthly results
- OI Hi-Res Red
  - Much larger  $\gamma^2$  values below 0.06 km  $^{-1}$  on 17 July 19993 compared to 1 July 1993



#### Sargasso & Gulf Stream Regions Daily Coverage & Coherence for July 1993

- X-axis: July Days, 1-31
- Y-axis: Daily Fraction of Coverage for Reduced Data, 0-1
- Y-axis: Average OI Hi-Res Coherence (γ) with Reduced Data
  - Average computed between 0.2 and 0.4 km<sup>-1</sup>
- Note rough correlation between the 2 curves
  - Coverage can be used as proxy for Coherence



#### 30% Coverage Days: January & July 2004

- Number of days with at least 30% ocean grid points with data
  - Computed on 1° spatial grid
  - January top
  - July bottom
- Note strong seasonal differences, for example
  - Gulf Stream
  - N. Hem Indian Ocean
  - Mediterranean

#### Users now have a simple way to understand

- Where high resolution analysis is possible
- How often it is possible

Days with at least 30% Coverage: Jan 2004





#### Reduced Analysis







#### Reduced Analysis











## Summary

- Using "Synthetic SST Data" as "Truth" is a useful procedure for studying the effects of sampling errors on SST analyses
  - Noise has not be added to the model SST
  - Thus, high resolution simulations are optimistic
- Monthly maps of data coverage can provide a useful way for users to understand where and how often high-resolution analyses actually have high-resolution signals